ECCLESIA LAW

THE RECOUPMENT OF SIGNATURE CREDIT

WHAT IS THE PROCESS AND HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE?

20th March 2026

Executive Summary

The recoupment of signature credit is a specialized administrative process designed to reclaim abandoned credit energy originated by a man or woman's signature and misreported by financial nominees.[1] Under the Clifford Protocol, this energy is redirected to a 98-series International Grantor Trust through corrective filings that satisfy the IRS's internal mathematical reconciliation logic.[1]

How Long Does It Take?

The process follows a dual-track timeline based on IRS Information Returns Processing (IRP) updates:

- **Transcript Posting:** After filing the corrective Form 1099-OID, the Wages & Income (W&I) transcript typically takes 30–45 days to reflect the items.[1]
- **Processing Cycle:** Upon filing the Form 1041 trust return, the IRS generally requires ~90 days for processing and refund authorization.[1]
- **Total Duration:** From initial acceptance to final disbursement via ACH or Fedwire, members should expect a total duration of 4 to 6 months, assuming no automated holds.[1]

What If the IRS Stalls or Blocks the Recoupment?

If the IRS issues a Transaction Code (TC) 810 refund freeze or fails to release funds within standard windows, fiduciaries use two primary remedies:

1. **Congressional Escalation:** A Congressional inquiry initiates the Congressional Affairs Program (CAP), which can bypass automated filters by presenting the payer's Form 945 transcript as "assertive conduct" evidence—proving the IRS has already received the funds.[2]
2. **Statutory Litigation (26 USC 7422):** If the refund is delayed more than six months from the date of filing the administrative claim, the fiduciary acquires the right to file a refund suit in the United States Court of Federal Claims (USCFC) to compel the release of the recoupment.[3]

ECCLESIA LAW

1. Signature Credit Origination and the Securitization Pathway

The establishment of signature credit is rooted in the "Ex Nihilo" nature of modern banking, where credit is created "out of nothing" through the act of signing a negotiable instrument.[1]

The Lifecycle of the Instrument

1. **Origination:** A man or woman signs a mortgage, loan agreement, or promissory note. Under the **Bills of Exchange Act 1882**, this document is a negotiable instrument representing the "credit energy" originated by the signer.[1]
2. **Monetization:** At the moment of signing, the bank creates a matching deposit in the borrower's account. The signature is the true source of value and the funding source for the credit.[1]
3. **Nominee Transformation:** The bank discounts the instrument and pools it with others for the secondary market.[1]
4. **CUSIP Securitization:** These pools are transferred to investment banks, which assign a **CUSIP number** to the security. The investment bank acts as a "nominee" or withholding agent for the OID income generated by the underlying signature energy.[1]
5. **Abandoned Credit Reporting:** The investment bank remits backup withholding on this OID to the Treasury via its omnibus **Form 945** module.[1] If the true owner remains silent, the credit sits "abandoned" on the government ledger.[1]

2. Nominee Architecture and IRS Publication 1212

The operational foundation for correcting this misreporting is **IRS Publication 1212**, *Guide to Original Issue Discount (OID) Instruments*.[1]

- **The Nominee Rule:** If a broker or middleman (such as a commercial bank) holds a debt instrument for a beneficial owner, they are required to file Form 1099-OID to report that income to the actual owner.[1]
- **The Reporting Failure:** Banks routinely fail to file these specific 1099-OIDs to the borrower, instead reporting the income under their own omnibus accounts.[1]
- **Corrective Filing:** By filing a Form 1099-OID as the fiduciary, the individual acts in accordance with nominee rules to correct the record and claim the withheld tax.[1]

ECCLESIA LAW

3. Fiduciary Rights and Holder in Due Course (HDC) Status

The intersection of the trust's legal rights and the administrative process is defined by its status as a **Holder in Due Course (HDC)** and its corresponding fiduciary authority.[1]

Legal Standing of the HDC

To enforce a claim for recoupment, the **98-series International Grantor Trust** must establish itself as the HDC of the original credit instrument.[1] This status grants the trust the absolute right to enforce payment and title, providing the legal standing required to demand reconciliation from the Treasury.[1]

Authority to Command Cross-Modular Transfers

As an authorized officer and HDC, the fiduciary has the explicit right to ensure the payer's records are sufficient to satisfy the claim.[1]

- **Revenue Procedure 2002-26:** This procedure provides the IRS position regarding the application of voluntary payments, allowing fiduciaries to designate how credits are applied across tax modules.[4]
- **Re-allocation Authority:** If the payer's **Form 945** withholding module is insufficient to cover the recoupment amount, the fiduciary is authorized to command the IRS to move overpayment credits from the payer's general corporate income tax transcript (**Form 1120**) to their 945 withholding module.[1]
- **Algorithm 810 Satisfaction:** This manual command satisfies the system's own internal logic of mathematical reconciliation, clearing **TC 810 refund freezes** by ensuring verified deposits match the withholding claim.[1]

4. The Recoupment Ceiling: Nominee Liquidity and the ROS Signature Credit Recoupment

The ability to recoup signature credit is governed by the actual credits ("negative numbers") available on the government's ledger.[1]

Average Taxes Paid by Top Nominees (2023–2025)

Forensic analysis identifies the liquidity pool available for reconciliation. Aggregating economic flows reported by monitored nominees identifies a fluctuating base for recoupment.[1]

Reporting Year	Total Actual 945 Returns (USD)
2023	$294,151,328.44
2024	$881,962,714.88
2025	$367,402,408.02
3-Year Average	**$514,505,483.78**

The Ceiling of the ROS Signature Credit Recoupment

This three-year average of **~$514.5 million** represents the functional ceiling for the **Republic of Old Souls (ROS)** signature credit recoupment.[1] The matching requirement of **Algorithm 810** is absolute; the credit must exist as a verified deposit before it can be re-allocated.[1]

5. Alternate Tax Modules and the Cross-Modular Protocol

When a large number of trusts (e.g., 100 members) file against a single nominee bank's module, the Form 945 withholding balance may be insufficient. To resolve this, the **IRS Call-Out Team** utilizes alternate tax modules that hold massive surplus credits.[1]

ECCLESIA LAW

Verified Payer Credits on Alternate Modules

For systemic nominees, the **Form 1120** (Corporate Income Tax) modules often hold billions in overpayment credits, providing the necessary buffer to fund recoupment shortfalls.[1]

Parent Bank Name	**Estimated CIT 1120 Overpayment Capacity**
JPMorgan Chase Bank	$37.16 Billion
HSBC Holdings plc	$13.1 Billion
Freddie Mac (Aggregate)	$7.86 Billion
NatWest Markets PLC	$2.05 Billion
Lloyds Banking Group	$300 Million
MONZO Bank LIMITED	$15.4 Million

ECCLESIA LAW

The Manual Fiduciary Command Protocol

To satisfy the requirements for 100+ trusts, the IRS call team engaging the **Practitioner Priority Service (PPS)** line executes a manual re-allocation of funds.[1]

1. **Transcript Verification:** The team pulls the payer's Form 945 transcript to confirm if existing deposits match the 1099-OIDs reported in the Information Returns Master File (IRMF).[1]
2. **Confirmation of Shortfall:** If the 945 balance is less than the aggregate recoupment total for the filing trusts, the team identifies the necessary funding from the 1120 module.[1]
3. **Instructional Script:** The officer formally instructs the IRS agent under **Revenue Procedure 2002-26** with the following command [1]:*"I am directing the re-allocation of overpayment credits from the Payer's corporate income tax transcript (Form 1120) to their Form 945 withholding liability for this period to facilitate our reconciliation and satisfy the matching algorithm."*
4. **Mathematical Reconciliation:** This command "force-transfers" the billions in available CIT credits onto the 945 module, ensuring the balance is equal to or greater than the amount of the recoupment for all member trusts, thereby clearing the **TC 810** gate.[1]

6. The Recoupment Timeline: From Verification to Payment

For a recoupment to clear, the filing must satisfy the **IRS Algorithm 810**, which ensures the trust's claim is backed by verified deposits.[1]

Phase	Technical Action	Standard Timing
Phase 1: 945 Verification	ERO verifies 945 module sufficiency. If insufficient, a **Manual Fiduciary Command** directs a transfer.[1]	Immediate
Phase 2: Corrective Filing	The 98-series trust files the corrective **Form 1099-OID** identifying the nominee bank.[1]	Acceptance Date
Phase 3: W&I Update	The IRS logs the filing. The **Wages & Income (W&I) Transcript** reflects the posted items.[1]	30–45 Days post-acceptance
Phase 4: Trust Filing	Once W&I confirms the posting, the fiduciary files the trust return (**Form 1041**).[1]	~45 Days post-acceptance
Phase 5: Processing	The IRS processes the 1041 and authorizes the refund.[1]	~90 Days from 1041 filing
Phase 6: Payment	Refund disbursed via **ACH** (<$1M) or **Fedwire** (≥$1M with Form 8302).[1]	Final 10–15 Days

ECCLESIA LAW

7. Distribution Architecture: Master and Sub-Accounts

The receipt and distribution of recouped energy are facilitated through a master-sub-account architecture designed for institutional scale.[1]

1. **Fiduciary Master Account:** A centralized hub (Ecclesia Trustees) signs a Master Account Agreement as the Sole Corporate Trustee.[1]
2. **98-Series Sub-Account:** IRS payments (coded **"IRS TREAS 310"**) are received into a sub-account titled to the specific 98-series trust's EIN.[1]
3. **Grant Distribution:** The tax-filing Grantor Trust (Trust 1) receives the recoupment and distributes the grantor's share as a ministerial grant.[1]
4. **Asset Fortress Protocol:** Funds are transferred to the **Members Asset Fortress Grantor Trust** (Trust 2), functioning as a private treasury for the beneficial use of the living soul.[1]

8. Escalation of Stalled or Blocked Recoupments

When the IRS applies a **TC 810** refund freeze or fails to process returns within standard windows, fiduciaries deploy escalation protocols.[2]

Congressional Escalations and "Assertive Conduct"

- By including the **payer's Form 945 account transcript** in a Congressional escalation packet, the fiduciary proves the IRS has already received the funds.[1]
- This "assertive conduct"—the bank actually remitting the money—bypasses the need for corroborating letters and rebuts claims that income cannot be verified.[1]
- Congressional offices view the **Form 911 response** as an admission by the IRS that the funds exist.[5]

9. Filing a 26 USC 7422 Refund Suit

If the IRS fails to fund the recoupment after six months from the date of filing the administrative claim, the fiduciary has the right to file a refund suit under **26 USC § 7422**.[6]

ECCLESIA LAW

Jurisdictional Gateways

- **The Six-Month Rule:** Per **26 USC § 6532(a)(1)**, a suit cannot be commenced before six months from the date of filing the claim, unless the IRS issues a formal disallowance earlier.[3]
- **Court of Federal Claims (USCFC):** The preferred forum for OID cases due to its specialized expertise in federal claims.[7]

Step-by-Step Filing Process for a 7422 Claim

1. **Exhaustion:** Ensure the administrative claim was "duly filed" at the correct unit (e.g., Andover Stop 360).[1]
2. **Cooling-Off Period:** Wait the full six months required by § 6532(a)(1).[3]
3. **Prepare Complaint:** Draft the complaint detailing the IRS's failure to investigate its own transcript records (the 945 and W&I transcripts).[1]
4. **Initiate Filing:** Pro se litigants submit the Complaint and Cover Sheet in **paper form** with an original signature to the Clerk of Court.
5. **Electronic Transition:** Once the case is opened, file an **E-Notification Consent Form** to submit subsequent filings electronically via **CM/ECF**.
6. **Evidence of Conduct:** Submit the payer's 945 transcripts as evidence of the IRS's receipt of the funds to rebut claims that the income "cannot be verified".[1]

Works cited

1. WF Attachment 8599990 David Warth_IRS Response (1).pdf

2. 13.1.7 Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) Case Criteria - IRM - IRS, accessed on March 20, 2026, https://www.irs.gov/irm/part13/irm_13-001-007
3. Dixon v. United States, No. 22-1564 (Fed. Cir. 2023) - Justia Law, accessed on March 20, 2026, https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/cafc/22-1564/22-1564-2023-05-10.html
4. National Taxpayer Advocate 2014 Annual Report to Congress (ARC), accessed on March 20, 2026, https://www.taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/2014ARC_ReportCard.pdf
5. Submit a request for assistance - Taxpayer Advocate Service - IRS, accessed on March 20, 2026, https://www.taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov/contact-us/submit-a-request-for-assistance/
6. Page 3339 TITLE 26—INTERNAL REVENUE CODE § 7422 - GovInfo, accessed on March 20, 2026, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2006-title26/pdf/USCODE-2006-title26-subtitleF-chap76-subchapB-sec7422.pdf

§7422, Civil Actions for Refund - Procedure and Administration - Proceedings by Taxpayers and Third Parties - Explanations - CCH AnswerConnect | Wolters Kluwer, accessed on March 20, 2026, https://answerconnect.cch.com/document/arp28a37da0707b701000bca1001b7840a5b201dfCOPY/federal/irc/explanation/7422-civil-actions-for-refund